

Adversarial Examples



 $+.007 \times$

"panda" 57.7% confidence



 $sign(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}, y))$ "nematode" 8.2% confidence



 $\epsilon \operatorname{sign}(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}, y))$ "gibbon" 99.3 % confidence

Consider a classifier $g: \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathcal{Y}$ from a feature space \mathcal{X}^n to a set of labels \mathcal{Y} . Given an input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n$, an adversarial example is a slight perturbation $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}$ of \mathbf{x} such that $g(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \neq g(\mathbf{x})$; that is, $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is given a different label than \mathbf{x} by the classifier.

Adversarial Threat Models

How does one define a "slight perturbation"? A *threat model* defines a set of imperceptible transformations for a natural input. We argue that existing threat models do not encompass the full range of perturbations that are imperceptible.

Additive (ℓ_p) Threat Model

$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \rightarrow (x_1+\delta_1,\ldots,x_n+\delta_n)$

- The usual threat model used for adversarial examples
- Each feature is perturbed by adding a small amount δ_i
- The norm of all the amounts is bounded, e.g. for the ℓ_2 norm $\|(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_n)\|_2 < \epsilon$

Functional Threat Model

$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \to (f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_n))$

- We propose a new class of threat models for adversarial attacks called *functional threat models*
- Adversarial examples are generated by applying a *single* function f to all features of the input
- The uniformity of the perturbation makes the change less perceptible, allowing for larger absolute modifications

Combined Threat Model

- $(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \rightarrow (f(x_1)+\delta_1,\ldots,f(x_n)+\delta_n)$
- Functional threat models can be combined with additive or other existing threat models
- We prove that the combined threat model encompasses more potential perturbations than the union of the constituents

FUNCTIONAL ADVERSARIAL ATTACKS

Cassidy Laidlaw and Soheil Feizi

Overview



ReColorAdv: Functional Attack on Image Colors



ReColorAdv is a novel adversarial attack against image classifiers that leverages a functional threat model. ReColorAdv generates adversarial examples by uniformly perturbing each pixel x_i in the input image x with a function $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$:

$x_i = (c_{i,1}, c_{i,2}, c_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq [0, 1]^3 \to \widetilde{x}_i = (\widetilde{c}_{i,1}, \widetilde{c}_{i,2}, \widetilde{c}_{i,3})$

Regularization and Scope

- Perturbation function $f(\cdot)$ is bounded to prevent it from modifying any color by too large of an amount
- PGD with smoothing term encourages similar colors to be perturbed in similar ways
- Works with different color spaces including RGB and CIELUV (perceptually accurate)
- Can be combined with other attacks such as Carlini and Wagner's [1] and spatiallytransformed adversarial examples [2]







Experiments

CIFAR-10 Accuracy Under Attack

		Defens
Attack	None	Adv. trainin
С	3.3	45.
D	0.0	30.
S	1.2	26.
C+S	0.9	8.
C+D	0.0	5.
S+D	0.0	7.
C+S+D	0.0	3.

C is ReColorAdv attack, **D** is an ℓ_{∞} attack, **S** is StAdv attack [2]. Attacks are evaluated separately and combined.

Perceptibility



Combinations of attacks are less perceptible than a single Above: unbounded attacks against a TRADESattack. trained network. **Below:** empirical evaluation using learned perceptual image-patch similarity (LPIPS) [4].



References

- [1] Nicholas Carlini and David Wagner. Towards Evaluating the Robustness of Neural Networks. In 2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP), pages 39–57. IEEE, 2017.
- [2] Chaowei Xiao, Jun-Yan Zhu, Bo Li, Warren He, Mingyan Liu, and Dawn Song. Spatially Transformed Adversarial Examples. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.02612, 2018
- [3] Hongyang Zhang, Yaodong Yu, Jiantao Jiao, Eric P. Xing, Laurent El Ghaoui, and Michael I. Jordan. Theoretically Principled Trade-off Between Robustness and Accuracy. In ICML 2019, 2019.
- [4] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A. Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Deep Features as a Perceptual Metric. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recogni tion. pages 586-595. 2018.



$$) = f(c_{i,1}, c_{i,2}, c_{i,3})$$



Attack strength (error rate) 0.02 0.04

delta bound



